

APR 26 2007

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of:	Latreice Woody)	Date:	26 April 2007
Serial No.:	10/625,744)	via Facsimile (571) 273-8300	
Filed:	07/23/2003)		
Title:	Portable Nail Salon)	Attorney Docket:	LW-001
Examiner:	Janet M. Wilkens Art Unit: 3637)		

**REPLY AND REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION UNDER 37 CFR § 1.111
IN RESPONSE TO OFFICE ACTION DATED 2 MARCH 2007**

Honorable Commissioner for Patents
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

The Petitioner respectfully acknowledges the objections and rejections put forth in the above captioned office action and requests reconsideration in accordance with 37 CFR § 1.111 and herein provides this response with amendments in accordance with 37 CFR § 1.121. These amendments seek to provide greater clarity in respect to the invention presented by the subject application thus traversing the rejections, obviating any objection, and directing the Examiner to that scope. No new matter is incorporated by way of these amendments nor is any additional requirement for search and examination placed upon the Examiner.

In accordance with 37 CFR § 1.121 the Petitioner respectfully submits amendments to the specification and claims of the subject application the duly headed sections that follow.

CLAIM REJECTIONS – 35 USC § 112

The Petitioner acknowledges that the Examiner has rejected Claims 3 – 7 as unpatentable under 35 USC § 112. The Petitioner proposes the resolution of these rejections via amendment to the claims in accordance to 37 CFR § 1.121.